

Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) 2023 Consultation Results

1. In what capacity are you responding to this consultation?

[More Details](#)

As a Hillingdon resident	117
On behalf of a Hillingdon resident	0
As some who studies in Hillingd...	0
On behalf of a local business	1
On behalf of a community or vo...	4




2. Is our approach to this Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) clear to you?

[More Details](#)

[Insights](#)

Yes	95
No	6
Partly	22



3. Do you feel this PSPO covers the major Anti Social Behaviour issues in your area?

[More Details](#)

[Insights](#)

Yes	44
No	38
Partly	41



4. Have we missed anything you expected to see in this PSPO?

[More Details](#)

Yes	76
No	47



5. If you have answered no or partly to the above questions, or would like to make any additional comments regarding the PSPO, please tell us below:

ID	Name	Responses
1	anonymous	It is very pleasing to see that you have removed the ridiculous rule about learner drivers practising in car parks.
2	anonymous	No
3	anonymous	There is an issue in the area with littering and this needs to be looked at. Construction works with open skips, remnants from rubbish collection day, broken glass etc. The area is deteriorating to the extent people are moving out of the area. Hillingdon should introduce waste collection bins and stricter rules on residents waste disposal.
4	anonymous	There is a massive safety issue, especially in Hayes, with people launching fireworks in their front gardens, down dark alley ways and on pavements next to main roads. Last year a stranger placed a lit rocket in a glass bottle down a dark alley and then angled it at the pavement as I was walking past. I have also been hit by exploded bits of fireworks in recent years. I'm surprised this isn't more of a priority for the council than people trying to raise money for charity.
5	anonymous	There has been an extremely high number of young people causing a nuisance in the town centre. I work in a local school and go into town for my lunch break. On seven occasions, I have seen children of secondary school age in The Chimes, The Pavillions and some other high street shops shouting and attempting to cause disruption to the customers and the elderly.
6	anonymous	Lots of issues with graffiti in YIEWSLEY and West Drayton. Need serious fines and penalties. Shops in and around should be banned selling spray cans. For example Wilko and other small independent shops. Also most shops in the above area have advertising stickers around the shop frames. Can you enforce that they remove them. These also get put in street lights and railings etc. Also dumped estate agent boards. Estate agents again should be fine for not removing and deposing of and when a house is sold should be removed within a certain time frame.
7	anonymous	You have completely ignored the West Drayton area where there is a huge drug issue. It's impossible to go to any park without coming across drug use and there are drug exchanges taking place as a daily occurrence around the Bell Farm area (particularly Bell Avenue). There appears to be no inclination from the council or the police to do anything about this.
8	anonymous	You seem to have completely missed an entire area. West Drayton is getting terrible with open drug use in public areas, drug use whilst wandering the streets and frequent dealing in all areas of the Bell Farm estate, that despite being reported, is being ignored.
9	anonymous	Dropping litter
10	anonymous	Loitering in a Children's play area .
11	anonymous	Fly tipping and graffiti is a major cause of concern
12	anonymous	People loitering around graves with alcohol/dogs
13	anonymous	vehicles being parked over sidewalks. noise from "souped up" engines on vehicles. residents and landlords being held accountable for untidy/overgrown gardens that are attracting vermin and wasp nests, creating problems for neighbouring properties.
14	anonymous	-Vehicles using Hayes town centre as their personal racing track. As a community we have been reporting and moaning about it for almost 2 years. Its particularly worse Summer Autumn - station rd, station approah, blyth rd. clayton rd, dawley rd, Hayes Cars doing donuts/driving round roundabouts the wrong way etc and motorbikes doing wheelies along footpaths. There is no specific time, its usually in the

ID	Name	Responses
		<p>evenings but its infuriating to put it mildly. most of the cars dont even have front reg plates. I have had neighbours cars written off, a telegraph pole knocked down, garden walls destroyed. and yes the police were made aware on many occasions... most of us just gave up because help wasnt forthcoming. - Modified exhausts - persons are removing the silencer or make changes that would make the vehicle emit a noise louder than the original/manufacture exhaust/ It is distressing to residents in Hayes town /Station Rd. - Vehicles with music amplification inflicting noise on the community last at night when passing or parked up = station approach Hayes is a regular hot spot.</p>
15	anonymous	The restriction on stands and signage is a clear attack on freedom of speech, and it looks designed to restrict the activities of opposing political entities. This must be removed or it will be struck out by the Courts.
16	anonymous	It over regulates legitimate freedom of speech, particularly by banning ad hoc stalls and tables. If they are causing a significant blockage to people's right of way then they should be removed, but it should not be up to the council whether people can talk to their fellow citizens on the street, even with a table!
17	anonymous	Use of green spaces for commercial activity
18	anonymous	it's great to include more things in the list, but who is going to police it and issue the fines at all times of day. You need to enforce this everywhere at all times - how are you going to do that?
19	anonymous	Stronger penalties on drug use in public areas
20	anonymous	I'd like to see more enforcement of noise complaints in residential areas.
21	anonymous	Please ensure that our Borough is clean, undesirable people are aware of police presence always to feel safer day and night.
22	anonymous	<p>Please enforce that all dogs must be on a leash in public spaces with an owner capable of managing the dog. In the garden village area in West Drayton there are more and more dangerous dogs (pitbull, american bull types) which are not kept on leashes, those on leashes are often being walked by young teenagers or people who would never be able to keep hold of the leash if the dog was to charge. If people want their dogs off leashes or are not capable of holding them back then they should be muzzled. In other countries in Europe muzzles have been made mandatory to stop the increase of dog attacks as it is quite clear we cannot solely rely of the owners to train the dogs properly. I have stopped going out after sunset as it feels too unsafe - and I am only 45 years old - I wonder how our older population feels...</p>
23	anonymous	<p>I would like much more stringent control on dogs. Badly-behaved off-lead dogs are a serious nuisance in the borough's parks, and this is not usually dog walkers. Most of the offenders are the owner of one "friendly" dog who will laugh and do absolutely nothing when the dog rushes up to my terrified children to have a sniff and a bark. Or nicks part of a picnic! I would like to see more dog-free areas in our local parks for the enjoyment of actual humans. Otherwise they risk turning into dog-only parks and children have nowhere to play that is not a small area of tarmac fenced off in the middle like an island. Children should have grass to run on and play ball games on too without needing to worry about dogs rushing in. Why not set up a pilot in one of the borough's larger parks? Fence off a LARGE area, around half the park, to be dog-free. Try it for the summer, see if it's always full!</p>

ID	Name	Responses
24	anonymous	Cycling on footpaths has become a major and dangerous issue. Many cyclists seem to think that they are entitled to cycle on footpaths and pedestrians have to get out of their way.it's highly dangerous and I have nearly been run over by them several times. I totally agree with adding E-scooters on footpaths to the list - they are a complete menace and dangerous and if you say anything to the driver, you will get abuse. Again I have nearly been run over a few times by an E-scooter on the footpath. Learner delivery bike drivers are another issue, weaving in and out of the traffic, jumping red lights, not stopping at pedestrian crossings and having total disregard for others. My road is frequently plagued by charity collectors who sometimes call to doors twice or three times a day. I have no idea whether they are legitimate. There are lots of elderly residents on my road who I am concerned would feel pressurised to donate. In other countries, door to door collections by charities is banned. Why not here?
25	anonymous	Cycling in the pedestrian area in Uxbridge town centre. There are particular problems with take-away delivery couriers on e-bikes who travel very fast as well as Santander bikes that cycle illegally in this area. I have never seen a police presence tackling this issue. As well as being anti-social it is also highly dangerous.
26	anonymous	Damage to pavements, mainly caused by contractor vehicles, but also residents who do not or cannot be bothered to apply and pay for a dropped kerb. Westholme Gardens is a prime example. Continuing use of e-scooters - Ruislip Manor, Eastcote and in local parks. Speeding cars near very busy schools, especially Warrender Way, Highgrove Way and The Ridgeway.
27	anonymous	Speeding cars near Bishop Ramsey school and Warrender School - Warrender Way and The Ridgeway. Damage to pavements by resident vehicles driving over the footpath / pavement and by contractors vehicles - The Ridgeway and Westholme Gardens in particular.
28	anonymous	One thing that we need to cover is NOISE. Not all of it is considered anti-social, but there are some behaviours that are objectionable to people. Specifically, I am concerned and offended by loud noise created by motor vehicles - sports cars, motorcycles. There is no need to rev up cars in residential areas, or to rev up motorcycles when going through quiet, residential areas. Some people like sports cars and like to make loud noises. But, this is really anti-social because it scares/startles people, or disturbs people who may be in their own homes, minding their own business.
29	anonymous	I share a drive with an elderly couple. We both have experienced people using our drive to park their vehicles without our consent. There is nothing we can do about an unknown vehicle in our drive parked there overnight. Also vulnerable peoples home used by abusers, called cuckooing, there needs to be quick action against these ones who target vulnerable groups.
30	anonymous	How will vehicle idling be policed? I live near a school where numerous vehicles are idling for up to half an hour, twice a day whilst parents collect their children.
31	anonymous	I would like to see the "use of amplification equipment in town centres" prohibition in Ruislip extended to include Ruislip Lido. Lido visitors who play music at excessive volumes both during the day and night are a huge nuisance to the local residents.
32	anonymous	Disposable BBQ,s so dangerous to woods parks wildlife animals and small children. Please ban. Seen a lot of this issue in Cowley park and a lot little Britain.

ID	Name	Responses
33	anonymous	Holding loud gatherings and parties in enclosed areas during hours when it is meant to be closed to the public - whether or not they break in or the council fails to lock the gates as was promised. Please see complaints from local residents re Fassnidge Park over the last two years.
34	anonymous	Open drug dealing, teenage cyclists holding up road traffic by cycling in circles on the road, motor scooters riding on pavement, motor scooters without number plates also large ie 750cc+ motorcycles riding without number plates , electric cycles riding in excess of 50mph on road, smoky old vans with foreign number plates scanning every street for things to steal or older Japanese cars to come back and steal the catalytic converters from later. Cars and motor cycles regularly exceeding 50 mph on roads parallel to Victoria road as rat runs. Groups of teenagers purposely blocking the pavement and expecting others to walk around them even if this means having to walk on the road. All these things create a sense of utter lawlessness and potential danger and makes Ruislip a scary place to live.
35	anonymous	Please take note that ASB is not just idling vehicles, spitting etc. it is also loud, unnecessary, unwelcome, disruptive and anti-social noise from - residential properties - music, constant building works (especially for our friends living in Hatherleigh Road) vehicles - music, engine and exhaust noise (especially when living in Cornwall Road) ASB in public areas is extremely bad but at least one has the option to leave the area - when the ASB is in a residential area eg. loud music from neighbours, then there is no option to leave the area. ASB also needs to include parking of vehicles on double yellow lines, in cycle lanes, over driveways, over kerbs These all affect health and wellbeing - mental and physical health - of residents and members of the public Therefore, please ensure that measures are put in place to fine, or otherwise, these anti-social individuals using technology such as - parking enforcement cameras vehicle noise detectors/cameras https://www.gov.uk/government/news/new-trial-to-banish-loud-engines-and-exhausts-on-britains-noisiest-streets https://www.standard.co.uk/news/london/supercar-noise-camera-knightsbridge-a4565531.html regular asb patrols and taking complaints seriously
36	anonymous	I agree that e-vehicles should not be allowed on footways. Also, however, bicycles should not be allowed on pavements and footways. They are very dangerous for pensioners and people with small children. They are prohibited under the Highways Act 1835, section 72, so this law should be rigorously enforced to prevent serious injury to pedestrians.
37	anonymous	Lido today from 9am was drowned in loud music near the cafe. This was uncomfortably loud and went on all day.
38	anonymous	Noise and rubbish pollution from the Lido. Use of speakers for loud music
39	anonymous	Ruislip Lido is a big cause for concern with antisocial behaviour on busy days: music played loudly, littering and today a car seen in the children's play area!
40	anonymous	Lido anti social behaviour not included
41	anonymous	Use of amplification, microphones in parks and green spaces not just town centres
42	anonymous	Doesn't include Ruislip Lido, absolutely absurd ASB sand loud music raving on the beach all day and night
43	anonymous	Amplification equipment should also be prohibited in public leisure areas, eg Ruislip Lido, unless, after proper consultation with local residents, a special license has been issued for a one-off event.

ID	Name	Responses
44	anonymous	Proposed prohibition on use of amplification equipment should also state Ruislip Lido as well as town centres. There is a huge problem with loud music being played from amplification equipment at the lido - it can go on all day, evening and into the early hours. It has a very detrimental affect on the local residents who have to put up with it.
45	anonymous	It worries me that begging is included. It seems we are more concerned about how WE feel when seeing a person asking for money rather than how must the person feel having to ask for money. How are they supposed to pay for the fine? You will only be making their situation worse. What is your policy on homelessness? If it was successful, this should not be a problem. It pains me to think of the money tax payers have given company's to build HS2 (and they will ask for more) which will serve as no use only an ornament IF its completed. But that's all about putting money into each other's pockets - I'd call that high society begging!!! But will anyone be fined for that huge mistake? A tiny percentage of the money spent on HS2 could solve this problem but instead the complete opposite is done.
46	anonymous	Prohibition on the making of loud music should apply in the Lido or other large outside spaces. This should be on a 24h basis.
47	anonymous	The PSPO is not clear. Exactly what does this cover? There is no reference to large gatherings or breaking of law cal restrictions
48	anonymous	24/7 patrols are required as all the antisocial behaviour takes place outside 9 - 5 hours.
49	anonymous	Some areas need greater vigilance and follow up eg Ruislip Lido where traffic wardens are not seen to patrol effectively, noise abuse, amplification, illegal use of blue badges.
50	anonymous	Thoughtless parking that prevents others from using allotted spaces. Noise pollution from open car windows and hooting horns while in slow/ static traffic.
51	anonymous	This hasn't included the Lido, holiday times are for everyone to enjoy, seemingly not the residents.
52	anonymous	Riding of bicycles on footways. Delivery mopeds utilising parking bays without payment.
53	anonymous	"use of amplification equipment in town centres (Uxbridge, Hayes and Ruislip)" - Why are Ruislip Lido and other public open spaces not explicitly included? This would allow action to be taken on late night partying at the Lido. "alcohol and drug misuse in public spaces " - Should Nitrous Oxide cannisters be specifically referenced? We had two large cannisters of Nitrous Oxide and an (empty) half bottle of spirits dumped in the kerb on St Catherines Rd after a busy Lido day by one of the visitors. Can anything be included about overly loud motor vehicles eg ones specifically design to emit loud bangs when revved, and tyre squealing in car parks ?
54	anonymous	The points covered in the PSPO need to be extended to green spaces such as Ruislip Lido and the surrounding woods
55	anonymous	Will this include controlling the noise levels at Ruislip Lido that interfere with the local residents? If not, it should along side antisocial behaviour and littering.

ID	Name	Responses
56	anonymous	I'm concerned that the proposed prohibitions on leafletting, street trading, tables, stands and signage are an attack on freedom of speech. This is extremely worrying. Groups campaigning on local and national issues of concern, for example, should not be treated as a public nuisance.
57	anonymous	Itinerant ice cream vendors who daily drive around residential streets with blaring music & belching fumes in old clapped out vans. Would like to see them banned.
58	anonymous	Why should a private dog walker be allowed a lower amount of dogs than a licensed dog walker? Also private dog walking companies who have multiple vans in the same spaces make an absolute mockery of the 6 dog rule. Inhalation of gas and subsequent littering caused by the same .
59	anonymous	How do you plan to enforce these prohibitions when your Head of Green Spaces and cabinet officer with portfolio for these areas fails to respond to multiple emails with regard to anti-social and potentially illegal activities?
60	anonymous	Clearer dog rules. Especially about leads. Extendable leads a menace! Dog on an 8m lead is not controllable by owner. "Lead" should mean short fixed leads. Extending leads should be banned on pavements.
61	anonymous	cycling / e-scooters / motorcycles/ on pavements particularly in Uxbridge Town Centre.
62	anonymous	Reckless cycling by delivery people in central Uxbridge and other local areas, cutting through pedestrians.
63	anonymous	I'm very concerned to see that the council is trying to restrict use of amplification, placing of tables, and distribution of leaflets: this is essentially a ban on political activity which is protected by article 10 of the Human Rights Act: 'This includes the right to express your views aloud (for example through public protest and demonstrations) or through...published articles, books or leaflets'. Political parties, campaign groups etc will be prevented from expressing their political views in public places. A similar PSPO restriction on the distribution of leaflets in Harrow was successfully challenged. Hillingdon council is not allowed to restrict these activities except for specific reasons such as protecting other people's rights - preventing 'nuisance' is not sufficient and the PSPO should therefore not be renewed and certainly not attempt to include such restrictions on activities are protected by the Human Rights Act. If it does it will only face challenges in court as soon as it is enforced against political activists.
64	anonymous	I am sick and tired of going through the centre of Uxbridge and playing dodgems with the food delivery bikes. I have witnessed them weaving in and out of the public, nearly knocking over the elderly. I myself was nearly knocked over and when my husband challenged the Rider got a mouth full of abuse. They claim not to know English, but they can swear. Young children who run about are at risk too this is not acceptable on any level. I have complained but nothing seems to happen.
65	anonymous	The prohibition of amplification, tables, leaflet distribution is a Draconian and backwards step which prohibits the freedom and rights of all people in the area, and those who live there. It contravenes article 10 of the Human Rights Act and I am absolutely opposed to this new PSPO
66	anonymous	Using off road motorised vehicles ie dirt bikes, quads in parks and woodland. PSPO Uxbridge would include Uxbridge College site, Leisure Centre & whole of Braybourne Close

ID	Name	Responses
67	anonymous	No mention of dealing with the antisocial behaviour and drug exchanges in the West Drayton area where it is a major issue
68	anonymous	Northwood Rec is daily driven over from Highland Road entrance by a motorbike presumably taking a short cut. Multiple e scooters, all male riders using the road and pavement. Regularly when walking on the Rec can smell drug use there.
69	anonymous	As a member of a community group who occasionally holds a stall in Uxbridge with information and leaflets, please could you confirm that the licence for this will continue to be free of charge for a community group?
70	anonymous	[Redacted]

ID	Name	Responses
71	anonymous	Re: Noise Nuisance One of my neighbours child is constantly screeching and shouting very loudly which is having a detrimental effect on our peaceful enjoyment of our garden and home environment and the parents never discipline their child. On many occasions the noises can be heard clearly inside our houses with all windows and doors shut. The noise is at times unbearable. This was a quiet neighbourhood until they moved in and we have had to endure the noise for two summers now and are no longer prepared to suffer another year. They have now added a dog to their family and its constant barking when left alone in their garden and it barks continuously from when they leave until they return later on in the early hours of the night. Whilst we appreciate children and animals can get excited and might at times be noisy but it is the constant shouting and screaming that we cannot endure any longer. This level of noise constitutes anti- social behaviour and to add fuel to the fire they play their music so loud that you can hear in whole close. This type of anti-social behaviour needs to be looked at more in depth, thanks, I hope this can be included in your new plans neighbours like this.
72	anonymous	There should be some exemptions for religious & political organisations as regards: Leafleting, placing tables stands & signage & using amplification. Many Councils have exemptions along these lines. This could otherwise lead to difficulties for political & religious organisations eg Jehovah's Witness (they use small tables). The real target are commercial organisations who can cause problems.
73	anonymous	Nitrous canisters (ballon's) Open containers of alcohol in a public place.
74	anonymous	It's not a police state, yet. Wind your necks in.
75	anonymous	Smell of drugs in parks and town centre. More needs to be done to stop drugs dealers and drugs use. Vape shops selling to school children should be illegal and enforced.
76	anonymous	Groups of teenagers gathering anywhere, shops, parks etc causing a nuisance, being intimidating, abusive, loud, destructive. Car and bike drivers/ riders speeding and screeching late at night in the Ruislip area.
77	anonymous	Littering, barbecues and fly tipping should also be part of the PSPO. So many people abuse our parks and use them as dumping grounds when they've had picnics or hosted events such as birthday party gatherings in parks. Disposable bbqs are a hazard and the rubbish is often unsightly. If more people were fined for littering in parks, others may be more inclined to take their waste with them when they leave.
78	anonymous	Littering
79	anonymous	It would be extremely helpful if the PSPO could include the prevention of antisocial school youths at bus stops! I own a property at Eastcote where for the most part of the 6 to 7 years we have lived here have had issues with the school kids that have prevented my family of 2 young children and wife from gaining access or being prevented from leaving our property by means of gatherings of the average size of up to 10 kids being rude and intimidating towards my family when asking them to not use our door step as their seat while waiting for the bus at stop (W) this is an issue with a new batch of antisocial youths from the surrounding schools every year! There have been incidents and police intervention on numerous occasions that have also included affected neighbours! I believe the bus stop should be removed and more thought to placing them within mere feet / distances from privately owned properties! This area also has issues with dangerous dogs off leads by irresponsible and entitled people! I've recently had a dog try and attack be whilst taking my daughter to school so luckily this incident had taken place on the way back from drop off (a police report which is now closed had

ID	Name	Responses
		successfully resulted in the dog owner receiving a caution) as incident had been a persistent habitual issue so the police were able to witness the dangerous dog off lead and take action! Albeit this had a successful outcome! It hasn't deterred other dog owners of questionably dangerous breeds take over the area and repeat the same behaviour.
80	anonymous	A few elements as follows: 1.) It would be great to see something that discouraged loitering on the high streets near fast food restaurants. 2.) It is understandable to discourage use of electric mobility vehicles, but it would be great to encourage use in areas where it's acceptable to use them. e-scooters are not going away. 3.) In the same vein to point 2, understandable to discourage use of drones, but why not create and encourage the use in prescribed areas.
81	anonymous	Amount of Commercial vehicles parking in residential areas.
82	anonymous	For the "no dogs in children's play area", I believe that there should be a dog only park in Hillingdon. For example, there is a little park where I walk my dog with his dog friends, and it has a small children / gym area which was implemented in 2019. Every dog there is friendly and they have sweetest souls, but we've been yelled at multiple times by parents for just having our dogs there. I believe that half of the park should be sectioned off (whether this is a fence or another type) and separated from the children's area to stop any hassle or unwanted interaction between children/parents and dog owners. I feel like there should also be a built in fountain in the dog section where owners can re-fill dog bowls, especially due to the hot weather we're facing recently. Whether there is a whole new dog only park in Hillingdon, or just a sectioned off part for dogs, I still think that more should be done to protect dogs/dog owners from parents if unwanted. Thank you for reading this. (The park I'm talking about is the small one at the end of 6 Nine Elms Close)
83	anonymous	No amplified music should extend to public fields and parks. There should be no barbecues allowed in public fields and parks. Deliveroo and other mopeds shouldn't be allowed to loiter in the parking spaces on the high streets.
84	anonymous	There is a huge uplift in drinking in public places in YIEWSLEY (off high street) and canal etc. Lager cans/Vodka bottles dumped. Human excrement, is unfortunately now a common issue. With the further influx of flats in YIEWSLEY, the area, has become less safe. More undercover/non uniformed police would be welcomed to monitor the area on foot, bikes, unmarked cars, at night, during the day. Little Britain also needs monitoring as well (over weekends and when the weather is good). I'm sure everyone is aware that more flats in a tiny town will result in issues. Making outer London towns becoming unsafe. I would walk home from WD train station in the later evening but would not contemplate this now. The more CCTV in place the better. Cameras on canals would be welcome too (if possible). Horton road seems an issue and trout road (heavily populated with flats again).
85	anonymous	Really seems over the top, and would ban buskers, preachers etc. unnecessarily, leading to an oversanitized town centre.
86	anonymous	I walk regularly in parks with my dog, so much litter around, I don't see this on your list. It's a big problem in Hayes.
87	anonymous	Would it be possible to add a prohibition of riding bicycles on paved footpaths (ie other than parks and woodlands)? Also for people on foot to have priority wherever a bicycle is allowed.

ID	Name	Responses
88	anonymous	<p>Currently by law cycling on the pavement is already I think difficult to enforce. So I do wonder how a number of these activities will be enforced. When visually or hearing impaired and someone on a pushbike, electric bike which I have seen, and the other listed electric items shoots by, the incident is over in a flash, but the person in control of this vehicle has no idea of the effect on the individual walking along. Not all hearing and visual impairments are obvious to others. Having not been aware of the details of Public Spaces Protection Orders before, I am impressed with the current and the proposed proposals. Sadly there will always be selfish individuals, and having the manpower, resources to implement enforcement of these proposals is something I do wonder about. As a little side note some incidents whether it be someone local to you or, having to give personal details to report something can put people off, for the fear of repercussions.</p>
89	anonymous	<p>It might be useful to clarify - for example that e-vehicles includes e-scooters. Nitrous oxide is a psychoactive drug but youths don't seem to realise this, perhaps because it is easy obtain and often comes in balloons or small whippets.</p>
90	anonymous	<p>Contribution to Council's Consultation on Public Spaces Protection Orders (PSPOs). The legislation* introducing PSPOs makes it clear that activities prohibited by the PSPO should have a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality but this is demonstrably not the case with the Hillingdon PSPO because multiple activities are prohibited over the whole borough rather in localities. This means that some activities are prohibited from areas where they would never normally occur. Identifying public places where the specific anti-social behaviour exists is a requirement of the relevant legislation and I believe this is impossible with boroughwide implementation, so the PSPOs are not valid or enforceable. Furthermore, this wide coverage means that potential transgressors and enforcers of the PSPO, such as the police, would not necessarily be aware if they are on a piece of land to which the PSPO applies since it would be difficult to put up PSPO notices on every piece of land owned or managed by LBH. If the above assertions are correct then this PSPO would breach Local Government Association Guidelines** on 2 counts. Firstly, the guidelines would regard this PSPO as disproportionate by not targeting specific areas where specific problems have occurred and secondly it would be impractical to enforce for the reason explained above. I am also aware and can document (through my membership of Ruislip Woods Management Advisory Group) where the council has failed to consult properly prior to implementing prohibitions via PSPOs, as recommended by the LGA. Failing to consult with residents and stakeholders (and expert advisors where appropriate) in relation to PSPOs could potentially lead to inappropriate prohibitions or a lack of support for the PSPO within some groups, making it more difficult to enforce. At very best, it is bad for local democracy. It may be the case that the council believes that the LGA guidelines mentioned above are wrong so should be ignored, and I have in the past asked Democratic Services if this is the case but I got no definitive further response. I would be grateful to again ask the council whether it agrees with LGA guidelines on the implementation of PSPOs? My email address is johngscrivens@gmail.com I fully support the use of PSPOs in principle, but I believe the way the council is implementing them does not correspond in spirit or word to the underlying legislation, nor to the LGA guidelines and that this makes them susceptible to legal challenge and amounts to poor quality administration. I would therefore ask the council to review the way its implements PSPOs, in order to bring them in line with LGA guidance. *</p> <p>https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2014/12/part/4/chapter/2/enacted **</p> <p>https://www.local.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/10.21%20PSPO%20guidance_06_1.pdf</p>
91	anonymous	<p>Enforcement of PSPO's have been an ongoing issue for years. Smoking/vaping and prohibiting dogs in children's play areas is a step too far.</p>

ID	Name	Responses
92	anonymous	I strongly object to the borough-wide blanket ban on the use of drones. I have a sub-250g mini drone that I use for leisure hobby use. It is insured, registered and used fully complying with the CAA drone code. To enjoy my hobby I have to drive miles out of my home borough which is an unreasonable prohibition on a legal activity that does not cause nuisance or anti-social bother. Our borough has a lot of open space that is not within the airport no-fly-zones or a public park where flying a small drone will cause no harm or nuisance.
93	anonymous	Dog Fouling in all green spaces, Including footpaths and green spaces on the top of west end lane with pathways to Sipson lane. Some dog owners do not pick up mess. There is no signage
94	anonymous	I am concerned about the proposed prohibitions against the use of amplification, the placing of tables/stands/signage, financial agreements, street trading and leaflet distribution. These activities are the core of legitimate campaigning activities and the rights to political or religious expression, the right to assembly and freedom of speech. Note that article 10 of the Human Rights Act states that: "Everyone has the right to freedom of expression. This right shall include freedom to hold opinions and to receive and impart information and ideas without interference by public authority and regardless of frontiers." The proposed prohibitions may interfere with the right to receive and impart information and ideas in particular.
95	anonymous	The Council seems to be going all Suella Braverman.
96	anonymous	PSPO covers places of leisure and does not address ASB in public spaces around residences, which causes immense anxieties and concerns, e.g. (1) drug dealing and drug taking also (2) dog fouling around public pathways and communal parking areas adjacent to homes, which leads to abuse when people are reminded about civic responsibilities. Area of concern around Charville Lane, Romney Road, Hoppner Road, Lawrence Road
97	anonymous	I do wish you would 'get real'. The current ASB team is NOT fit for purpose and rather than address that you seek to extend their remit - absolute nonsense. See how effective you are at dealing with the current concerns and you will see your performance is derisory and that is being kind. Explaining that you will now have a multi-agency response is just trying to pull the wool over residents eyes i.e. as if the police are going to get involved. Use the CCTV that you to prosecute offenders that will help fund more CCTV to tackle the further issues. Your enforcement agents avoid confrontation which whilst maybe understandable leads to them consistently turning a blind eye.
98	anonymous	These clauses are unreasonable and disproportionate, and will have a chilling effect on legitimate political and social campaigning: use of amplification equipment in town centres (Uxbridge, Hayes and Ruislip) placing of tables/stands/signage in town centres (Uxbridge, Hayes and Ruislip) street trading and leaflet distribution without proper consent.
99	anonymous	I do feel that some shop owners, I am thinking of along some parts of the Uxbridge Road Hayes/Hayes End, do seem to be able to ignore the rules of no parking on the pavement. I understand a brief time to unload stocks etc, but then cars, vehicles should be moved away.

6. Gender

[More Details](#)

[Insights](#)


Female	63
Male	45
Prefer not to say	10
Other	0



7. What age range do you belong to?

[More Details](#)

Under 25	2
25-34	10
35-44	11
45-54	26
55-64	32
65+	25
Prefer not to say	12




8. Which group best describes your ethnic background?


[More Details](#)

[Insights](#)


Asian or Asian British ethnic gro...	8
Black, Black British, Caribbean, o...	5
Mixed or multiple ethnic group ...	2
White group or background (En...	79
Other ethnic group or backgrou...	3
Prefer not to say	20



9. What is your postcode where you live, work or study in the borough?




10. Which of the following best describes your sexual orientation?



11. What is your religion or belief?

[More Details](#) [Insights](#)

No religion	32
Buddhist	2
Christian (Catholic, Church of En...	55
Hindu	2
Jewish	0
Muslim	3
Sikh	1
Prefer not to say	25
Other	1



12. Do you have a disability? A physical or mental illness or condition that has a substantial and long-term effect on your ability to carry out normal day to day activities.

[More Details](#) [Insights](#)

Yes	20
No	85
Prefer not to say	16

